

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/512,978
 02/24/00
 KERR
 R
 MI 22-1343

 EXAMINER

021567 MMC2/0904
WELLS ST JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY AND MATKIN
SUITE 1300
601 W FIRST AVENUE
SPOKANE WA 99201-3828

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2814 DATE MAILED:

09/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/512,978**

Applicant(s)

Kerr et al.

Examiner

Phat X. Cao

Art Unit 2814



- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
Period for Reply	
A SMORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, be considered timely. 	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the 	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 2</u>	24, 2000
_	s action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quaywe35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Clainis	
4) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-</u> 8	is/are pending in the applica
	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)⊡disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burn'see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second control of the certified of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority	
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	
Attachment(s)	
5) X Notice of References Cled (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
6) Notice of Draftspersun's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
7) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4-8	20) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

1. The cancellation of claims 9-49 in Paper No. 2 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 5, line 3, a phrase "conductive material" should be changed to "a conductive material". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mano (US. 5,814,886) in view of Wolf (Vol. 3).

Mano discloses in Fig. 3 an integrated circuit comprising: a conductive line G2 received over a semiconductive substrate; a diffusion region within the substrate proximate the line and disposed directly under conductive portions of the conductive line G2; and a conductive material C1 made of metal received over the line and interconnecting it with the diffusion region.

Art Unit: 2814

امت

Mano does not specifically disclose that the diffusion region and substrate forming a reverse biased pn junction for selected magnitudes of current provided through the conductive line.

However, Wolf teaches the obviousness of using n and p dopants in four different MOSFETs configurations, formation of pn junction and reverse biasing a pn junction of the diffusion region and the substrate by selectively apply magnitudes of voltage provided through the conductive gate line of MOSFET (see Fig. 4-2 on page 137 and related text, on page 136, section 4.1.1). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to form a reverse biased pn junction between the diffusion region and the substrate for selected magnitudes of voltage on current provided through the conductive gate line because according to Wolf, this is a basis operation of a MOS transistor when the transistor is in OFF mode (also see Fig. 4-2 and section 4.1.1).

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over En et al (US. 5,990,524) in view of Wolf (Vol. 3).

En et al disclose in Fig. 4 an integrated circuit comprising: a conductive line 16 received over a semiconductor substrate 12; a diffusion region 24b within the substrate proximate the line and disposed directly under conductive portions of the conductive line 16; and a conductive material 50 made of metal received over the line 16 and interconnecting it with the diffusion region 24b.

Application/Control Number: 09/512,978

En et al does not specifically disclose that the diffusion region and the substrate forming a reversed biased pn junction for selected magnitudes of current provided through the conductive line.

However, Wolf teaches the obviousness of using n and p dopants in four different MOSFETs configurations, formation of pn junction and reverse biasing a pn junction of the diffusion region and the substrate by selectively apply magnitudes of voltage provided through the conductive gate line of MOSFET (see Fig. 4-2 on page 137 and related text, on page 136, section 4.1.1). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to form a reverse biased pn junction between the diffusion region and the substrate for selected magnitudes of voltage on current provided through the conductive gate line because according to Wolf, this is a basis operation of a MOS transistor when the transistor is in OFF mode (also see Fig. 4-2 and section 4.1.1).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phat X. Cao whose telephone number is (703) 308-4917. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessfully, the Examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (703) 306-2794.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Group 2800 fax number is (703) 308-7722 or (703) 308-7724.

Art Unit: 2814

PC August 24, 2001 Cao, Phat X.
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800